IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

PETE S. S	SANCHEZ, JR., #773526,) Petitioner,)
v.) 3:06-CV-1547-R) ECF
NATHANIEL QUARTERMAN, Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Div., Respondent.)	
	RECOMMENDATION REGARDING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
AN	Notice of Appeal has been filed in the above captioned action in which:
(X)	U.S.C. § 2254.
Magistrate J	suant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), the undersigned Judge recommends as follows:
(X) () ()	the party appealing is proceeding <i>in forma pauperis</i> . the party appealing should be DENIED leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> for the following reason(s): () the Court recommends that the District Court certify, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 24(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that the appeal is not taken in good faith; () the person appealing is not a pauper because he has paid the appellate filing fee; () the person appealing has not complied with the requirements of Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) as ordered by the Court. (See Notice of Deficiency and Order entered on). A: a Certificate of Appealability should be GRANTED. (See issues set forth below).
(X)	a Certificate of Appealability should be DENIED. (See reasons stated below).
REASONS	FOR DENIAL: For the reasons stated in the Findings and Recommendation of the United States

REASONS FOR DENIAL: For the reasons stated in the Findings and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, filed on February 13, 2007, which were accepted by the District Court on July 5, 2007, the Petitioner has failed to demonstrate that reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000).

SIGNED this 27th day of July, 2007.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Wm.7. Sanderson. gr.